

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		D-30030-01	8299
09/472,088	12/23/1999	N.S. RAMESH	D-30030-01	
28236 . 7.	590 01/29/2003			
CRYOVAC, INC.			EXAMINER	
SEALED AIR CORP			VO, HAI	
P.O. BOX 464				
DUNCAN, SC	29334		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	16
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	(BM)	-	
	&m)	)	
ac	ldress		
).	ely. communication. the merits is		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	21
	09/472,088	RAMESH, N.S.	#1
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hai Vo	1771	
The state of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	s

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence **Period for Reply** 

#### A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFK 1.13b(a). In no event, nowever, may a reply be urnery fried after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
   If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time.
   If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication even if timely filed may reduce any apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed may reduce any

- Failure	uilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status		5.44/40/0000			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on the filings of	<u>11/12/2002</u> .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL 2b) ☐ This action	n is non-final.			
3)	the time is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
	sition of Claims				
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or electi	on requirement.			
	cation Papers				
0,	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or	b) objected to by the Examiner.			
1		ng(s) be neight abeyance. See 37 37 7 1133 (x)			
11)	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)	approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to the	nis Office action.			
12)	$\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.			
Driority	ity under 35 H.S.C. 88 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1 Cortified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2 Continued copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	a Coming of the partified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
14)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 0.3.0. § 110(c) (to a provide a provi				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
l l	hment(s)	(PTO 413) Paner No(s)			
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/472,088 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-12, and 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Park et al (US 5,149,579). Park teaches a composite material comprising one functional layer of ethylene-vinyl alcohol sandwiched between the two foam layers wherein the thickness of the functional layer constitutes less than about 5% of the total thickness of the composite material (column 8, line 58 et seq.). Since the thickness of each foam layer is about 115 mils (table 2), the functional layer would clearly have a thickness of about 1.15mils. Park also teaches the foam can be made of polypropylene homopolymer, ethylene-propylene copolymer and a mixture thereof (column 18, lines 40-55). Since the composite material of Park meets the recited structure and the functional layer has a thickness meeting the specific range set out in the claims, it is the examiner's position that the bond strength between the two

Application/Control Number: 09/472,088

Art Unit: 1771

Page 3

foam layers would be inherently present within a range as set forth in the claims.

Note In re Best 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) as to the providing of this

rejection under 35 USC 103 in addition to the rejection made under 35 USC 102.

With regard to claims 7, 8, 16 and 18, the polypropylene foam has a density of 8.55

lb/ft3 (example 8).

With regard to claim 20, Park is silent as to a bodyboard flotation article. However, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed composite structure is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed composite structure from a prior art polypropylene foam sheet satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

4. Claims 5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park et al (US 5,149,579) as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, in view of Baxmann

et al (US 4,046,945). Park is silent as to the functional layer made from

ethylene/propylene rubber. Baxmann teaches a film of ethylene-propylene rubber

useful as a vapor barrier (column 4, lines 2-3). It would have been obvious to one

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the vinyl

ethylene alcohol by the ethylene/propylene rubber in the functional layer because of

its easy availability and economical advantage.

#### Response to Arguments

5. The art rejections over Hurley have been overcome by the present arguments and Declaration filed on 11/12/2002. The Declaration successfully shows that the

Art Unit: 1771

thickness of the EPR coating plays a key role in attaining the improved bond strength between PE and PP foam layers.

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 605-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri, 8:30-6:00 and on alternating Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV January 23, 2003 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 4